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## 1965

FARM BUSINESS SUMMARY

## ERIE COUNTY

The Extension Service in New York State has developed Furm Business Wanagement Projects to help farm families improve their managerial skills. These projects were conducted in 44 counties in 1965. Erie was one of the participating counties.

Records are a basic feature in these projects. Records can serve as a valuable tool in managing a farm business. Records for any business provide the information needed for moking a management summary end analysis.

This summary report is prepared in workbook form to help you summarize and analyze your business. It provides the framework for a systematic study of a farm operation.

The 1965 records from Erie County farms have been used to get the group averages included in this report. These can be used as a benchmark for making comparisons. Figures have also been included from a general summary made of 434 dairy farms from 26 counties which were in Farm Business Management Projects in 1964. You can compare your business not only with the Erie County farms but also with those of farmers from other counties across New York State.

It is hoped that your participation in this Farm Business Management Project will help you to develop a systematic approach to management problems. This in turn can give you a better income and a higher level of living.

This summary prepared by C. A. Bratton, Department of Agricultural Economics, New York State College of Agriculture, in cooperation with T. Jorgensen of the Erie County Extension Service.

GOOD DECISIONS ARE THE CRUX OF SOUND MANAGEMENT:

Steps in making a management decision:

1. Locate the trouble spot (problem)
2. What is your objective? (goal)
3. Size up what you have to work with (resources)
4. Look for various ways to solve the problem (alternatives)
5. Consider probable results of each way (consequences)
6. Compare the expected results (evaiuate)
7. Select way best suited to your situation (decision)
8. Put the decision into speration (action)

## Have you developed a systematic <br> approach to management problems?




Source: Current Economic Situation.

Prices are one of several important factors affecting farm incomes. When studying farm incomes for any year, consideration must be given to the price situation. This includes both prices received and prices paid. The relationship of prices received and prices paid by farmers determines the general level of farm incomes.

The blended New York farm price for $3.7 \%$ milk in 1965 averaged $\$ 4.38$ per hundredweight. This was six cents higher than the average for 1964. Dairy cow prices which declined from 1960 to 1963 held steady in 1965. The overall index of prices paid by New York dairy farmers continued to rise in 1965. Machinery, wages, feed and building materials were up, milk cows and fertilizer were the same, and seeds were down. The index of prices paid has risen about two percent per year during the past decade.

AVERAGE YEARLY PRICES RECEIVED AND PAID BY N. Y. FARMERS, 1956.65

| Year | $\begin{gathered} \text { Milk } \\ \text { (cwt.) } \end{gathered}$ | Dairy <br> (head) <br> (head) | Prices paid by N. Y. dairy farms $(1910-14=100)$ | Year | $\underset{(\mathrm{Milk}}{\mathrm{Mil}}$ | Dairy <br> cows <br> (head) | Prices paid by N. Y. dairy farms (1910-14=100) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1956 | \$4.20 | \$180 | 352 | 1961 | \$4.32 | \$260 | 394 |
| 1957 | 4.58 | 196 | 363 | 1962 | 4.26 | 245 | 401 |
| 1958 | 4.55 | 255 | 376 | 1963 | 4.26 | 234 | 409 |
| 1959 | 4.58 | 284 | 386 | 1964 | 4.32 | 237 | 414 |
| 1960 | 4.42 | 278 | 393 | 1965* | 4.38 | 237 | 421 |

* Preliminary.

PART I - SUMMARY OF THE FARM BUSINESS

This part is to help you systematically summarize your business. It provides for an examination of the physical resources, capital investment, receipts, expenses, and the financial summary for the year.

## Physical Resources

Each farm family must make their management decisions on the basis of the things they have to work with. Thererore, in analyzing a farm business, a first step is to look at the rescurces. This includes both the physical and financial things that are available. Below are the averages and ranges for the physical resources of this Erie County group for 1965.

FARM ORGANIZATION
12 Erie County Dairy Farms, 1965

| Item | Average or No. reported |  | Your farm | Range |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Labor: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Months of: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operators | (12 farms) | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Family paid | ( 6 farms) | 2.2 |  |  |  |
| Family unpaid | ( 5 farms) | 1.0 |  |  |  |
| Fired | ( 6 farms) | 3.8 |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 22.0 |  |  |  |
| Man equivalent (No. men) |  | 1.8 |  | 1.2 | 3.2 |
| Livestock: (Number) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cows |  | 51 |  | 30 | 83 |
| Heifers |  | 34 |  | 4 | 70 |
| Crops: (Acres grown) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hay |  | 74 |  | 40 | 127 |
| Grass silage | ( 6 farms) | 29 |  | 5 | 85 |
| Corn for silage | (11 farms) | 21 |  | 10 | 35 |
| Oats | ( 9 farms) | 21 |  | 10 | 43 |
| Total acres of crops |  | 145 |  | 70 | 235 |

Production records are another useful management tool on a dairy farm. Of the 12 farms, 7 were in D.H.I.A., 3 had owner-sampler records, and 2 reported no production records.

Age of operators were reported as: Under 30-1; 30 to 39-5; 40 to 49 - 5; 50 or over - 0; not reported - 1 .

Capital resources are essential in any business. They make it possible to assemble the physical resources of machinery, livestock, and land and buildings. Some of the capital used in a farm business is owned by the operator and some is borrowed. Here we consider all capital used whether owned or borrowed. The farm inventory at the end of the year is used as the measure of capital investment.

> FARM INVENTORY VALUES, January 1, 1066 12 Erie County Dairy Farms

| Item | Amount per farm |  | Amount per cow |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Your farm | Av. 12 farms | Your farm | Av. 12 farms |
| Machinery and equipment | \$ | \$16,963 | \$ | \$ 333 |
| Cattle |  | 22,458 |  | 440 |
| Feed and supplies |  | 5,274 |  | 103 |
| Land and buildings |  | 33,925 |  | 665 |
| TOTAL INVESTMENT | \$ | \$78,620 | \$ | \$1,541 |

Total investment on these 12 farms ranged from $\$ 38,000$ to $\$ 117,000$. Six of the farms had investments of more than $\$ 75,000$.

On these farms, the amount invested in machinery and cattle combined exceeded the value of the real estate. In recent years, the personal property has been increasing in importance compared with the real rroperty. This is happening even though real estate values have been rising siceadily.

Below are some capital investment factors:

## Item

Total investment per man
Land \& building investment pre acre of crops
\% Land \& buildings are of total investment

Capital turnover (years for receipts to equal investment)

Your farm Av. 12 farms Av. 434 N.Y. farms

$$
\$ \quad \$ 43,700 \quad \$ 34,500
$$

$\$$ $\qquad$ $\$ 234$ \$268
$\qquad$
$\%$
$\% \quad 43 \%$ $47 \%$
2.1
2.3

## Receipts

In any commercial enterprise, it is essential that there be a sizeable gross income. Unless there is a reasonable amount of receipts, one cannot expect to have much net income.

FARM RECEIPTS
12 Erie County Dairy Farms, I965

| Item | Your farm | Average of 12 farms | Percent of total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Milk sales |  | \$27,397 | 85 |
| Livestock sold |  | 2,699 | 8 |
| Crop sales |  | 178 | 1 |
| Machinery sold |  | \$233 |  |
| Government payments |  | 164 |  |
| Work off the farm |  | 297 1,899 | 6 |
| Custom machine work |  | 658 |  |
| Gas tax refunds |  | 233 |  |
| Other miscellaneous |  | 314 |  |
| Total cash farm receipts |  | \$32,173 | $\overline{100}$ |
| Increase in inventory |  | 5,648 |  |
| TOTAL FARM RECEIPTS | \$ | \$37,821 |  |

Total cash receipts on these 12 farms averaged $\$ 32,173$ per farm in 1965, or $\$ 88$ per day. Milk sales accounted for 85 percent of the cash receipts.

Increases in inventory are usually due to expansion in the business. For 1965, the average increase on these farms was $\$ 5,648$. Machinery had an increase of $\$ 1,960$, and land and buildings $\$ 1,308$, while livestock increased $\$ 1,652$, and feed increased $\$ 728$. Inventory increases are considered as farm receipts.

## Income Analysis

Average price per cwt. of milk sold
Milk sales per cow
Total cash receipts per man
Total cash receipts per acre of crops
Total cash receipts per $\$ 1,000$ investment

Your farm


Average per farm
$\$ 4.30$
\$537
\$17,900
\$222
$\$ 409$

## Expenses

A good manager keeps his eye on the expenditures. Expenses can be too low as well as too high. Good information on actual expenses is the first step toward expense control.

FARM IXPENSES
12 Erie County Dairy Farms. 1965

| Item Your farm | Average of 12 farms | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hired labor \$ | \$1,575 | 8 |
| Dairy concentrates bought | 6,399 | 34 |
| Other feed (hay, etc.) | 17 | - |
| Machine hire | 289 | 2 |
| Machinery expense | 1,403 | 8 |
| Auto expense (farm share) | 248 | 1 |
| Gas and Oil | 923 | 5 |
| Breeding fees | 291 | 2 |
| Veterinary \& medicine | 464 | 2 |
| Other livestock expense | 1,866 | 10 |
| Lime and Pertilizer | 1,423 | 8 |
| Seeds and plants | 354 | 2 |
| Spray and other crop expense | 319 | 2 |
| Building expense | 381 | 2 |
| Taxes \& insurance (\$600 \& \$415) | 1,015 | 5 |
| Electricity \& telephone | 453 | 2 |
| Miscellaneous | 1,219 | 7 |
| TOTAL CASH OPERATING EXPENSE | \$18,639 | 100 |
| New machinery \$ | \$4,354 |  |
| Real estate | 1,242 |  |
| Livestock purchases | 1,708 |  |
| Unpaid labor | 150 |  |
| Decrease in inventory | -- |  |
| TOTAL FARM EXPENSE | \$26,093 |  |

The total farm expenses on these 12 farms averaged $\$ 71$ per day, or \$512 per cow.

## Financial Summary of Year's Business

The returns from a farm business can be measured in several ways. Two are used here--labor income and farm cash operating income.

IABOR INCOME
12 Erie County Dairy Farms, 1965

| Item | Your farm | Average of l2 farms |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total Farm Receipts | $\$$ | $\$ 37,821$ |
| Total Farm Expenses | $\underline{26,093}$ |  |
| Farm Income |  | $\$ 11,728$ |
| Interest on Capital @ 5\% $(\$ 75,796)$ |  | $\underline{3,790}$ |
| LABOR INCOME per farm |  | $\$ 7,938$ |
| Number of operators on 12 farms |  |  |
| LABOR INCOME per operator |  | $\$ 6,350$ |

"Labor Income" is a measure of the return the farm operator receives for his labor and management. It is the amount left after paying all farm expenses, and deducting a charge for unpaid labor and for interest on the capital invested.

Interest payments and payments on debts are not included in the farm expenses. To make all farms comparable, a five percent interest charge on the average capital investment is deducted to get labor income.

The average labor income per operator was $\$ 6,350$ or $\$ 529$ per month. In addition, the family had a house to live in and some farm produced food. The labor incomes ranged from $\$ 1,295$ to $\$ 12,000$ per operator. There were six farms with over $\$ 7,500$ labor income per operator.

FARM CASH OPERATING INCOME
12 Erie County Farms, 1965

| Item | Your farm | Average 12 farms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total cash farm receipts | $\$$ | $\$ 32,173$ |
| Total cash operating expenses |  | 18,639 <br> FARM CASH OPERATING INCOME <br> (available for family living, <br> capital purchases, debts, etc.) \$13,534 |

This part includes guidelines to use in studying the important factors in your business. The averages for 434 New York State dairy farms in 1964 and the averages for the ten percent with the highest labor incomes for 1964 are given for making comparisons.

Four farm business factors are examined here. They are: size of business, rates of production, labor efficiency, and cost control. Farm management research has repeatedly shown these to be major factors affecting income.

## Business Factor: Size of Business

Size is an important factor in any business. It affects other factors such as labor and capital efficiency, and cost control. In general, the larger farms make higher incomes, but at the same time some of the larger farms have large losses.

MEASURES OF SIZE OF BUSINESS

| Measure | Your farm | 12 Erie County farms, 1965 | $\begin{gathered} 434 \text { N. Y. State } \\ \text { dairy farms, } 1964 \\ \text { Average } \frac{\text { Top } 10 \% *}{} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of cows |  | 51 | 40 | 55 |
| Pounds of milk sold |  | 636,900 | 450,400 | 674,600 |
| Man equivalent |  | 1.8 | 1.7 | 2.0 |
| Total work units |  | 676 | 507 | 693 |

* The 10 percent of the farms with highest labor incomes.

Above are four measures of size. The 12 Erie County farms averaged somewhat larger than the 434 farms but smaller than the ten percent with the highest labor incomes.

In the table below, the 434 farms are sorted into various size groups with the average labor income for each group.

COWS PER FARM AND LABOR INCOME
434 New York Dairy Farms, 1964

| Number <br> of cows | Number <br> of farms | Labor income <br> per operator |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Under 20 | 11 | $\$ 695$ |
| $20-29$ | 93 | $\$ 2,080$ |
| $30-39$ | 144 | $\$ 3,029$ |
| $40-49$ | 99 | $\$ 3,345$ |
| $50-59$ | 48 | $\$ 4,857$ |
| $60 \&$ over | 39 | 801 |

Rates of production for both animals and crops have long been important factors contributing to the success of a farm business. The operator must strive to find the level of inputs, such as feed and fertilizer, which will give the highest net income. Few farmers exceed this level whereas many fall shor's.

MEASURES OF RATES OF PRODUCTION

| Measure | Your farm | 12 Erie County farms, 1965 | 434 N. Y. State dairy farms, 1964 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lbs. of milk** sold/cow |  | 12,500 | 11,260 | 12,300 |
| Tons of hay per acre |  | 2.8 | 2.0 | 2.1 |
| Tons of corn silage/acre |  | 15 | 12 | 14 |
| Bushels of oats/acre |  | 73 | 51 | 54 |

* The 10 percent of farms with highest labor incomes.
** Average test $3.6 \%$.

Pounds of milk sold is used in measuring the output on dairy farms. Production per cow is-calculated by dividing total pounds of milk sold by the average number of cows for the year. Pounds sold per cow is less than that produced as shown by D.H.I.A. because of milk used on the farm and spillage.

The pounds of milk sold per cow for the 12 farms averaged 12,500. This compares with 11,260 pounds for the 434 farm business management project farms in 1964 and 12,300 for the ten percent of the farms with the highest labor incomes. The range for the 12 farms was from 9,900 to 15,100 pounds sold per cow.

The effect of pounds of milk sold per cow on labor income is illustrated below. In each of the three size groups, the farms with high production had an average labor income considerably higher than those with low production.

MILK SOLD PER COW AND IABCR INCCME 434 New York Dairy Farms, 1964

| Pounds milk sold per cow | Farms with less than 30 cows |  | Farms with 30-49 cows |  | Farms with cows and over |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Labor | Number | Labor | Number | Labor |
|  | of farms | income | of farms | income | of farms | income |
| Under 10,000 | 39 | \$1,097 | 56 | \$1,973 | 17 | \$- 142 |
| 10,000-11,999 | 34 | \$2,086 | 92 | \$2,768 | 34 | \$4,013 |
| 12,000 \& over | 31 | \$2,821 | 95 | \$4,235 | 36 | \$5,288 |

## Business Factor: Labor Efficiency

Labor efficiency is sometimes claimed to be the most important single factor on farms today. This is brought about by the rapidly rising wage rates. If a farmer wants top efficiency from his hired man's time as well as his own, he must keep a close watch on the factors which affect labor efficiency.

NEASURES OF LABOR EFFICIENCY

| Measure | Your farm | $\begin{gathered} 12 \text { Erie } \\ \text { County } \\ \text { farms, } 1965 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 434 \text { N. Y. State } \\ & \text { dairy farms, } 1964 \\ & \text { Average Top } 100^{*} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lbs. of milk** sold/man |  | 353,800 | 264,900 | 337,300 |
| Number of cows per man |  | 28 | 24 | 28 |
| Work units per man |  | 376 | 298 | 346 |
| Crop acres per man |  | 81 | 61 | 70 |

* The 10 percent of farms with highest labor incomes. ** Average test 3.6\%.

The 12 Erie County farms sold an average of 353,800 pounds of milk per man in 1965. The range was from 199,400 to 620,000 pounds per man. Three farms sold more than 400,000 pounds per man. The average for the top ten percent in 1964 was 337,300 pounds per man.

When labor efficiency is related to labor income as in the table below, two points show up. One is that the more pounds of milk sold per man the higher the labor income per operator. The other is that a much higher percentage of the large farms have high labor efficiency. One-fourth of the large farms sold 350,000 pounds or more milk per man, while none of the small-size group accomplished this.

POUNDS OF MILK SOLD PER MAN AND LABOR INCOME
434 New York Dairy Farms, 1964

| Pounds milk sold per man | Farms with <br> less than 30 cows |  | Farms with$30-49 \text { cows }$ |  | Farms with <br> 50 cows and over |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Labor | Number | Labor | Number | Labor |
|  | of farms | income | of farms | income | of farms | income |
| Under 250,000 | 77 | \$1,391 | 94 | \$2,024 | 21 | \$2,132 |
| 250,000-349,999 | 27 | \$3,482 | 109 | \$3,400 | 44 | \$3,378 |
| 350,000 \& over | 0 | --- | 40 | \$5,165 | 22 | \$5,953 |

## Business Factor: Cost Control

Cost control has been growing in importance on farms. As more "input" items are purchased, cost control has a larger effect on incomes. Cost control is difficult to measure. However, keeping good records and making use of them can give you some useful checks.

Feed, labor, and machinery are major cost items on dairy farms and can easily get out of line. On the next two pages, you can study your costs for these three input items.

FEED COSTS

| Item | Your farm | $\begin{gathered} 12 \text { Erie } \\ \text { County } \\ \text { farms, } 1965 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 434 \mathrm{~N} . \\ \text { dairy fa } \\ \text { Average } \end{gathered}$ | . State $\frac{\mathrm{ms}, 1964}{\text { Top 10\%* }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Furchased Feed |  |  |  |  |
| Dairy feed bought | \$ | \$6,399 | \$6,206 | \$8,386 |
| Feed bought per cow | \$ | \$125 | \$155 | \$152 |
| Feed bought as \% of milk receipts | \% | 23\% | $31 \%$ | 29\% |
| Feed bought/cwt. of milk sold | \$ | \$1.00 | \$1. 38 | \$1.24 |
| Total crop expense** per cow |  | \$41 | \$31 | \$35 |
| Feed bought and crop expense/cow |  | \$166 | \$186 | \$187 |
| Roughage Harvested (hay equivalent) |  |  |  |  |
| Hay (tons) |  | 210 | 142 | 180 |
| Silage (tons: 3) |  | 128 | 64 | 121 |
| Total tons hay equivalent |  | 338 | 206 | 301 |
| Tons hay equivalent/cow |  | 6.6 | 5.1 | 5.5 |
| Other Considerations |  |  |  |  |
| Total acres in crops/cow |  | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.5 |
| Tons H. E. per acre in crops |  | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.2 |
| Number of heifers per 10 cows |  | 6.7 | 6.0 | 6.2 |

* The 10 percent of the farms with the highest labor incomes. ** Lime and fertilizer, seeds, spray and other crop expense.

The percent feed bought was of milk receipts ranged from a low of 11 percent to a high of 42 percent. Eight farms had 25 percent or less of the milk receipts going for feed bought.

In addition to the quantity of roughage harvested, one must also consider the quality. Time of harvest is an important factor affecting quality of hay. Did you complete your first cutting by July 4?

Labor and Machinery Costs are sizeable on a dairy ferm. It is important to keep these under control. Since labor and machinery work as a team, it is well to study them together.

POWER AND MACHINERY COSTS


Net power \& mach. cost:
per cow
per crop acre
per man
per cwt. milk sold


| $\$ 125$ | $\$ 109$ | $\$ 94$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 44$ | $\$ 42$ | $\$ 37$ |
| $\$ 3,540$ | $\$ 2,567$ | $\$ 2,730$ |
| $\$ 1.00$ | $\$ 0.97$ | $\$ 0.77$ |

* The 10 percent of the farms with the highest labor incomes.

Farmers frequently justify high machinery costs on the basis that the machinery saves labor. The combined machinery and labor cost measure gives a good check. In the table below, the effect of labor and machinery costs on income can be observed.

LABOR AND POWER AND MACHINERY COSTS

| Item | Your farm | $\begin{gathered} 12 \text { Erie } \\ \text { County } \\ \text { farms, } 1965 \end{gathered}$ | 434 N. Y. State <br> dairy farms, 1964 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value of operators' labor** | \$ | \$ 4,500 | \$3,924 | \$ 3,683 |
| Hired labor |  | 1,575 | 1,170 | 2,529 |
| Unpaid family labor |  | 150 | 367 | 366 |
| TOTAL LABOR COSTS | \$ | \$ 6,225 | \$5,461 | \$ 6,578 |
| Net power \& mach. cost |  | 6,372 | 4,363 | 5,183. |
| TOTAL LABOR \& MACH. COSTS | \$ | \$12,597 | \$9,824 | \$11,761 |
| Total per cow | \$ | \$247 | \$246 | \$214 |
| Total per crop acre | \$ | \$87 | \$94 | \$84 |
| Total per man | \$ | \$ 6,998 | \$5,779 | \$ 5,881 |
| Total per cwt. milk sold | \$ | \$1.98 | \$2.18 | \$1.74 |

[^0]On these Erie County dairy farms, the power and machinery cnst was just about the same as the labor cost. In other words, for each dollar spent for labor, a dollar was also spent for mechanization.

The following table shows. the relationship of combined labor and machinery costs to labor and income.

LABOR AND MACYINERY COST PER COW AND LABOR INCOME 434 New York Dairy Farms, 1964

| Cost per cow | Farms with <br> less than 30 cows |  | Farms with |  | Farms with 50 cows and over |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of farms | income | Number of farms | Labor income | Number of farms | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Labor } \\ & \text { income } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$250 | 26 | \$2,537 | 144 | \$3,836 | 61 | \$4,455 |
| \$250-\$349 | 60 | \$2,260 | 90 | \$2,173 | 26 | \$2,025 |
| \$350 \& over | 18 | - \$23 | 9 | \$2,170 | 0 | 1, |

There are many costs in operating a farm. It is essential that one control the major items, but it is also important to watch the smaller costs too. Small leaks can build up into sizeable losses. Below are some measures which can be used in exercising overall cost control.

COST CONTROL MEASURES
$\left.\begin{array}{lccc}\hline \text { Item } & & \begin{array}{c}\text { I2 Erie } \\ \text { County } \\ \text { farms, }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Your farm } \\ \text { dairy farms } \\ 1964\end{array} \\ \text { Feed bought/cow }\end{array}\right]$

The chart on this page is a tool for use in analyzing a farm business. It is essentially a series of measuring sticks. The top figure in each column is the average of the top ten percent of the farms for that factor. The next figure in the column is for "the next best ten percent," etc. The last figure is the bottom ten percent.

For each column, draw a line to show where your farm stands.

FARM BUSINESS CHART FOR FARM MANAGEMENT COOPERATORS
434 New York Dairy Farms,* 1964

| Size |  |  |  | Rates of Production |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Total | Man | Pounds | Pounds | Tons | Tons |
| of | work | equiva- | 3.7 milk | 3.7 milk | hay | corn silage |
| 2006 | units | lent | sold | sold per cow | per acre | per acre |
| 75 | 930 | 3.0 | 902,200 | 14,300 | 3.7 | 21 |
| 53 | 669 | 2.2 | 617,500 | 13,200 | 2.9 | 17 |
| 46 | 580 | 2.0 | 528,600 | 12,500 | 2.5 | 15 |
| 42 | 528 | 1.9 | 480,000 | 12,000 | 2.3 | 14 |
| 38 | 488 | 1.6 | 431,900 | 11,400 | 2.7 | 12 |
| 35 | 452 | 1.5 | 395,600 | 10,900 | 1.8 | 11 |
| 33 | 420 | 1.3 | 357,600 | 10,400 | 2.6 | 10 |
| 30 | 381 | 1.2 | 313,100 | 9,800 | 1.4 | 9 |
| 26 | 336 | 1.2 | 266,800 | 9,100 | 1.1 | 7 |
| 21 | 265 | 1.1 | 194,200 | 7,800 | . 7 | 4 |


| La,bor Efficiency |  | Cost Control |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pounds |  | \% Feed | Net | Labor and |
| Cows | 3.7 milk | Feed | is of | machinery | machinery |
| per | sold | bought | milk | cost | cost |
| man | per man | per cow | receipts | per cow | per cow |
| 36 | 441,600 | \$ 63 | 16\% | \$ 63 | \$280 |
| 30 | 347,700 | 95 | 23 | 80 | 202 |
| 28 | 315,100 | 115 | 26 | 88 | 216 |
| 26 | 287,600 | 131 | 28 | 94 | 227 |
| 24 | 269,700 | 145 | 30 | 107 | 238 |


| 23 | 250,900 | 158 | 32 | 110 | 251 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 21 | 233,800 | 173 | 34 | 119 | 263 |
| 20 | 212,000 | 187 | 36 | 128 | 279 |
| 18 | 185,400 | 207 | 40 | 142 | 305 |
| 15 | 143,600 | 249 | 47 | 184 | 372 |

[^1]
## CHANGES ON NEW YORK DAIRY FARMS

In 1960, the Department of Agricultural Economics at Cornell started a research study of the changes in milk production in New York. A random sample of farms was selected. The sample farms were visited in June each year from 1960 to 1965 to obtain information on changes that had been made.

The sample of farms studied included a 2.5 percent sample of the dairy farms in the New York Milkshed and a 5 percent sample of the Hudson Valley area. Farms delivering to all markets in New York State, and those located in New York State but delivering to New England markets were included. The sample included 1,073 farms in 1960. From this sample, estimates can be made for the entire State or the Milkshed.

Resuits from this study point up the major changes made in the past five years. Based on this, we can make an estimate of the situation which may exist five years ahead or 1970. It is important to look ahead as you make long-term plans for your business.

| Item | New York State situation in: 1960 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Change } \\ 1960 \\ \text { to } 1965 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Your } \\ & \text { estimat } \\ & 1970 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of dairy farms | 40,200 | 30,500 | - $24 \%$ |  |
| Number of cows | 1,200,000 | 1,100,000 | - 8 |  |
| Million lbs. milk sold | 10,000 | 10,800 | + 8 |  |
| Cows per farm | 30 | 36 | + 20 |  |
| Lbs. milk sold per cow | 8,300 | 9,800 | + 18 |  |
| Lbs. milk sold per farm | 249,000 | 354,000 | + 42 |  |
| Man equivalent per farm | 1.8 | 1.8 | 0 |  |
| Cows per man | 17 | 20 | + 18 |  |
| Lbs. milk sold per man | 138,000 | 197,000 | $+43$ |  |


| Farms with less than 20 cows | $31 \%$ | $18 \%$ | -42 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Farms with bulk tanks | $20 \%$ | $35 \%$ | +75 |  |
| Farms with free stalls | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ | - |  |
| Farms with gutter eleaner | $31 \%$ | $48 \%$ | +55 |  |
| Farms with silo unloader | $5 \%$ | $18 \%$ | +260 | $\%$ |

COMPARISON OF BUSINESS SUMMARIES BY SIZE OF FARM 434 New York Dairy Farms, 1964

| Itern | $\begin{gathered} \text { My } \\ \text { farm } \end{gathered}$ | Average of farms with |  |  | Average of top $10 \%$ by labor income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less than 30 cows | $\begin{aligned} & 30-49 \\ & \text { cows } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 50 cows and over |  |
| Number of farms |  | 104 | 243 | 87 | 43 |
| Capital Investment (end of year) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Machinery and equipment | \$ | \$ 7,611 | \$12,215 | \$19,596 | \$16,080 |
| Cattle |  | 8,666 | 13,855 | 23,735 | 21,865 |
| Feed and supplies |  | 2,163 | 3,451 | 5,780 | 5,324 |
| Land and buildings |  | 18,134 | 25,027 | 47,326 | 37,044 |
| TOTAL INVESTMENT | \$ | \$36,574 | \$54,548 | \$96,437 | \$80,313 |
| Farm Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |
| Milk sales | \$ | \$11, 309 | \$18,806 | \$32,757 | \$29,381 |
| Livestock sold |  | 1,183 | 1,835 | 3,184 | 3,184 |
| Crop sales |  | 86 | 123 | 157 | 159 |
| All other sales |  | 485 | 812 | 1,424 | 1.359 |
| Total Cash Receipts |  | \$13,063 | \$21,576 | \$37,522 | \$34,083 |
| Increase in inventory |  | 1,579 | 2,582 | 5,375 | 5,832 |
| TOTAL FARM RECEIPTS |  | \$14,642 | \$24,158 | \$42,897 | \$39,915 |
| Farm Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hired labor | \$ | \$ 213 | \$ 857 | \$ 3,189 | \$ 2,529 |
| Dairy concentrate |  | 3,713 | 5,825 | 10,249 | 8,386 |
| Other feed |  | 193 | 251 | 534 | 282 |
| Machine hire |  | 74 | 110 | 123 | 189 |
| Machinery repairs |  | 456 | 697 | 1,279 | 898 |
| Auto expense (farm share) |  | 127 | 156 | 1, 178 | 136 |
| Gas and oil |  | 452 | 663 | 1,069 | 845 |
| Breeding fees |  | 157 | 219 | 346 | 326 |
| Veterinary, medicine |  | 176 | 289 | 495 | 413 |
| Milk hauling |  | 323 | 335 | 438 | 349 |
| Other livestock expense |  | 346 | 656 | 1,091 | 841 |
| Lime and fertilizer |  | 428 | 856 | 1,587 | 1,325 |
| Seeds and plants Bale ties |  | 130 | 231 | 350 | 304 |
| Spray, other crop expense |  | 72 | 105 | 156 | 143 |
| Iand, bldg., fence repair |  | 49 197 | 85 423 | 188 | 151 |
| Taxes, insurance |  | 514 | 833 | 1,465 | 1,264 |
| Electricity (farm share) |  | 205 | 298 | 1, 555 | 1,462 |
| Miscellaneous |  | 182 | 277 | 525 | 395 |
| Notal Cash Operating |  | \$8,007 | \$13,166 | \$24,491 | \$19,724 |
| New machinery <br> New real estate |  | 1,307 | 2,450 | 4,344 | 3,297 |
| New real estate |  | 793 | 1,315 | 3,402 | 2,125, |
| Unpaid labor |  | 497 | 807 | 1,243 | 1,243 |
| TOTAL FARM EXPENSES | \$ | \$10, 907 | \$18,143 | \$33,812 | $\frac{366}{755}$ |
| Financial Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total farm receipts | \$ | \$14,642 |  |  |  |
| Total farm expenses |  | $\begin{array}{r}\$ 14,642 \\ 10,911 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | $\$ 24,158$ 18,143 | $\$ 42,897$ 33,812 | \$39,915 |
| Farm Income <br> Interest on capital (0)5\% | \$ | \$3,731 | \$6,015 | \$ 9,085 | \$13,160 |
| Interest on capital @ 5\% <br> Labor Income per Farm |  | 1,789 | 2,663 | 4,687 | 3,87C |
| Number of operators |  | \$ 1,942 | \$ 3,352 | \$4,398 | \$ 9,290 |
| IABOR INCOME/OPERATOR | \$ | \$ 1, 1023 | \$ 3,121 | 107 $\$ 3,576$ |  |

BUSINESS FACTORS BY SIZE OF FARM
434 New York Dairy Farms, 1964

| Measure | $\begin{gathered} \text { My } \\ \text { farm } \end{gathered}$ | Average of farms with |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average of } \\ & \text { top lo\% by } \\ & \text { lebor income } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less than 30 cows | $30-49$ cows | 50 cows |  |
| Size of Business |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of cows |  | 24 | 38 | 64 | 55 |
| Pounds of 3.7 milk sold |  | 260,500 | 429,400 | 736,200 | 674,600 |
| Total acres in crops |  | 75 | 102 | 147 | 140 |
| Man equivalent |  | 1.3 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 2.0 |
| Total work units |  | 323 | 487 | 781 | 693 |
| Total investment | \$ | \$36,574 | \$54,548 | \$96,437 | \$80,313 |
| Rates of Production |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pounds of 3.7 milk sold per cow |  |  |  | 11,500 |  |
| Milk sales per cow | \$ | 471 | \$495 | \$512 | \$534 |
| Tons hay per acre |  | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.1 |
| Tons corr silage per acre |  | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| Bushels of oats per acre |  | 50 | 49 | 58 | 54 |
| Labor Efficiency |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of cows per man |  | 18 | 24 | 27 | 28 |
| Pounds of 3.7 milk sold per man |  | 200,400 | 268,400 | 306,800 | 337,300 |
| Work units per man |  | 248 | $3{ }^{3} 4$ | 325 | 346 |
| Crop acres per man |  | 58 | 64 | 61 | 70 |
| Use of Capital |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total capital per man |  | \$28,134 | \$34,092 | \$40,182 | \$40,256 |
| Total capital per cow | \$ | \$1,524 | \$1,435 | \$1,507 | \$1,460 |
| Tctal machinery per cow | \$ | \$317 | \$321 | \$306 | \$292 |
| Total land and building investment per cow | \$ | \$756 | \$659 | \$739 | \$674 |
| Machinery Costs (Net) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  | \$2,811 | \$4,184 | \$6,720 | \$5,183 |
| Machinery cost per cow |  | \$117 | \$110 | \$105 | \$ ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Machinery cost per crop acre | \$ | \$37 | \$41 | \$46 | \$37 |
| Feed Costs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feed bought per cow |  |  |  | \$160 | \$152 |
| Feed as $\%$ of milk receipts |  | 33\% | 31\% | 31\% | $29 \%$ |
| Feed bought per cwt. of milk sold | \$ | \$1.43 | \$1.36 | \$1.39 | \$1.24 |
| Total feed bought and crop expexise per cow | \$ | \$183 | \$187 | \$196 | \$187 |
| Prices |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average price per cwt. of 3.7 milk sold | \$ | \$4.34 | \$4.38 | \$4.45 | \$4.36 |

## FINANCIAL SITUATION AND MANAGEMENT

The financial summary for 126 dairy farms in Cayuga, Delaware, Ontario, and Otsego counties is presented for comparison purposes. These farms were included in a credit study made in 1962 and repeated in 1964. The information shows some of the financial changes which occurred on these 126 farms in the two year period.

## FARM FAMILY FINANCES

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { My } \\ & \text { farm } \end{aligned}$ | 126 Dairy Farms |  | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1962 | 1964 |  |
| Farm Assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Machinery and equipment | \$ | \$12,561 | \$13,835 | \$1,274 |
| Cattle |  | 15,157 | 16,057 | 900 |
| Other livestock |  | 71 | 112 | 41 |
| Feed and supplies |  | 4,369 | 4,591 | 222 |
| Land and buildings |  | 27,343 | 31,931 | 4,588 |
| All Farm Assets | \$ | \$59,501 | \$66,526 | \$7,025 |
| Non-Farm Assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Other real estate | \$ | \$ 609 | \$ 839 | \$ 230 |
| Cash on hand and in checking account |  | 677 | 968 | 291 |
| Stocks, bonds |  | 1,923 | 2,335 | 412 |
| Household gocds, personal auto |  | 3,025 | 3,204 | 179 |
| Cash value life insurance |  | 1,980 | 2,604 | 624 |
| Accounts receivable |  | 2,398 | 1,973 | - 425 |
|  |  | 1,196 | 1,825 | 629 |
| All Non-Farm Assets |  | \$11,808 | \$13,748 | \$1,940 |
| TOTAL FINANCIAL ASSETS | \$ | \$71,309 | \$80,274 | \$8,965 |
| Debts |  |  |  |  |
| Real Estate Debt | \$ | \$11,499 | \$13,585 | \$2,086 |
| Chattle mortgages on cattle and equipment |  | 711,49 | +13,58 | \$2,086 |
| Unsecured notes |  | 1,801 | 2,231 | 430 |
| Installment contracts |  | - 400 | 2,691 | 291 |
| Feed account <br> Other debts |  | 658 | 634 | - 24 |
| Other debts |  | 780 | 830 | 50 |
| TOTAI IEBTS | \$ | \$22,475 | \$25,434 | \$2,959 |
| NET WORTT | \$ | \$48,834 | \$54,840 | \$6,006 |
| \% Equity | \% | 68\% | 68\% | -- |
| Number of cows |  | 40 | 42 | 2 |
| Debt per cow |  | \$562 | \$605 | \$43 |
| \% Real estate debt is of total | \% | 51\% | 53\% | -- |

Life insurance is an important part of your financial situation. The insurance programs of 126 cooperators in four counties were summarized for 1964.

LIFE INSURARCE COVERAGE, FARM OPERATOR
126 Dairy Farms, New York, 1964

| Degree of Coverage | Range of Coverage | Number of <br> Operators | Av, Coverage |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Minor | $0-6,499$ | 34 | $\$ 3,538$ |  |
| Average | $6,500-14,499$ | 32 | 10,594 |  |
| Above average | $14,500-24,499$ | 27 | 19,085 |  |
| Broad coverage | 24,500 and over | $\underline{33}$ | $\underline{39,975}$ |  |
| TOTAL or AVERAGE |  |  | 126 | $\$ 18,100$ |

TYPE AND AVERAGE SIZE OF LIFE INSURANCE POLICIES
126 Dairy Farms, New York, 1964

| Type of Policy | Percent of <br> Policies | Average Size | Potal Insurance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ordinary Life | 32.1 | $\$ 6,623$ | 34.5 |
| Term | 17.4 | 10,909 | 34.1 |
| Limited Payment | 32.0 | 3,271 | 18.3 |
| Endowment | $\underline{18.5}$ | $\underline{3,92}$ | $\underline{13.1}$ |
| TOTAL or AVERAGE | 100.0 | $\$ 5,637$ | 100.0 |

ASSET POSITION AND LIFE INSURANCE ON OPERATOR 126 Dairy Farms, New York, 1964

| Assets* | Number of <br> Operators | Average Amount of <br> Insurance on Operator |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Less than $\$ 50,000$ | 31 | $\$ 8,665$ |
| $\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 69,999$ | 42 | 15,240 |
| $\$ 70,000$ to $\$ 99,999$ | 22 | 17,886 |
| $\$ 100,000$ and over | $\underline{31}$ | $\underline{31,374}$ |
| TOTAL or AVERAGE | 126 | $\$ 18,100$ |

* Range of assets -- \$28,300-\$385,200.

AGE OF OPERATOR AND AMOUNT OF LIFE INSURANCE CARRIED 126 Dairy Farms, New York, 1964

| Age of <br> Operator | Number of <br> Operators | Net Worth | Average Amount <br> of Infe Insurance <br> on Operator |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Under 35 | 33 | $\$ 43,500$ | $\$ 22,276$ |
| $35-44$ | 54 | 42,800 | 15,152 |
| $45-54$ | 26 | 81,100 | 20,102 |
| 55 and over | $\underline{3}$ | $\underline{80,900}$ | 16,815 |
| TOTAL or AVERAGE | 126 | $\$ 54,800$ | $\$ 18,100$ |

Many states conduct farm business analysis projects similar to the one in which New York farmers participate. Summary results from three other states are reported here for comparison with New York dairy farms. How would you rate New York's position with this outside competition?

| Factor | 434 New York Dairy Farms 1964 | 83 Maine and New Hampshire Dairy Farms 1964 | 723 Wisconsin Dairy Farms 1964 | 68 Indiana Dairy Farms 1964 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop acres | 104 | N.R. | 147 | 196 |
| Man equivalent | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| Number of cows | 40 | 40.6 | 37.6 | 39.1 |
| Milk sold ( $3.7 \%$ eq.) : |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 450,400 | 478,016 | 410,780 | 435,574 |
| Per cow | 11,260 | 11,774 | 10,925 | 11,140 |
| Per man | 264,900 | 241,422 | 256,738 | 256,220 |
| Tons of hay per acre | 2.0 | N.R. | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| Tons corn silage per acre | 12 | N.R. | 12.6 | 15.3 |
| Capital investment: |  |  |  |  |
| Land and buildings | \$27,109 | \$26,650 | \$27,468 | \$57,384 |
| Machinery | 12,094 | 10,843 | 10,992 | 11,635 |
| Livestock | 14,310 | 13,590 | 11,380 | 16,409 |
| Feed and supplies | 3,674 | N.R. | 4,658 | 7,659 |
| Total | \$57,187 | \$51,083 | \$54,497 | \$93,087 |
| Per man | \$33,639 | \$25,799 | \$34,061 | \$54,474 |
| Per cow | \$ 1,430 | \$ 1,258 | \$ 1,449 | \$ 2,381 |
| Financial summary: |  |  |  |  |
| Total farm receipts | \$25,634 | \$29,019 | \$23,678 | \$30,582 |
| Total farm expenses | 19,551 | 22,356 | 16,951 | 21,904 |
| Farm income | \$ 6,083 | \$ 6,663 | \$ 6,727 | \$ 8,678 |
| Interest at 5\% | 2,859 | 2,554 | $\underline{2,725}$ | 4,655 |
| IABOR INCOME/FARM | \$ 3,224 | \$ 4,109 | \$ 4,002 | \$ 4,023 |
| LABOR INCOME/OPERATOR | \$ 2,958 | N.R. | \$ 3,765 | N.R. |
| Average price/cwt. 3.7 milk | \$4.40 | \$5.29 | \$3.71 | \$4.17 |
| Milk sales per cow | \$495 | \$623 | \$405 | \$465 |
| Machinery cost per cow | \$109 | \$94 | \$98 | \$149 |
| Feed purchased per cow | \$163 | \$220 | \$74 | \$128 |

N.R. - Not reported.

When a farm nanager considers making a change in his business, there ure nsially two or three alternatives for consideration. The outline below is a guide to help compare these alternatives. If the change is to be a major one, the farm manager may wish to consult with his county agricultural agent since he is experienced in the techniques of budgeting and has in his possession reference material that is helpful when comparing alternatives.

| My business <br> in 1965 | Proposed <br> Change \#1 | Proposed <br> Change \#2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

I. Farm Receipts
Milk sales, gross
Livestock sales
Egg sales
Crop sales
Miscellaneous receipts
Total Cash Receipts
Increase in inventory
Total Farm Receipts

II. Farm Expenses
Hired labor
Dairy feed bought
feed bought
Machine hire
Truck, tractor, machinery
Auto expense (farm share)
Gasoline and oil
Breeding fees
Veterinary and medicine
Other livestock, poultry exp.
Lime and fertilizer
Seeds and plants
Spray, other crop expense
Land, building, fence expense
Taxes, insurance
Electricity, telephone (f.s.)
Miscellaneous
Total Cash Operating Expenses
New machinery
New real estate
Livestock purchases
Unpaid family labor
Decrease in inventory
Total Farm Expenses

1II. Farm Financial Summary
Total Farm Receipts
Total Farm Expenses
Farm Income
Interest on Capital
LABOR INCOME



[^0]:    * The 10 percent of the farms with the highest labor incomes.
    ** Valued at $\$ 3,600$ per operator.

[^1]:    * These farms are considerably above the average for all farms in the State. For example, the median number of cows for the 434 farms was 36.5 compared with 31.5 for all farms in the State.

